British Nationalist
FOR RACE AND NATION
AUGUST 1987
12p

LATEST IMMIGRATION FIGURES

GOVERMENT LIED TO ELECTORATE

THE GOVERNMENT deliberately lied to the people during the general election in the claims it made over immigration figures. Desperately anxious to collect votes at any price, Mrs. Thatcher gave out grossly misleading information concerning the current rate of immigrants coming into Britain.

In the Tory election manifesto, under the heading 'Immigration and Race Relations', it was said that: ''Immigration for settlement is at its lowest level since control of Commonwealth immigration first began in 1962. Firm but fair immigration controls are essential for harmonious and improving community relations.''

The second part of this statement was obviously intended for the ethnic minorities, whose votes the Tories are now increasingly anxious to attract; the first part, on the other hand, was undoubtedly aimed at the mainstream of the indigenous white population. Significantly, it included the words ''for settlement'' — obviously intended to give the government a get-out in the event of it being established that the rate of immigrants coming in has not decreased but increased. In that event, government ministers could then claim that there nevertheless had been a reduction in the number coming in **officially as permanent settlers**, even if there was not an overall decrease in those entering this country.

IMMIGRATION AND RACE RELATIONS

Immigration for settlement is now at its lowest level since control of Commonwealth immigration first began in 1962. Firm but fair immigration controls are essential for harmonious and improving community relations.

CONFIDENCE TRICK
On the left here is the dishonest claim made in the Tory election manifesto, giving the impression that the immigration rate is down, whereas it is stlll rising. The trick lies in the words 'for settlement', which excludes thousands coming in who say that their stay will only be 'temporary'.

IN WITH THE AID OF LIES
Mrs. Thatcher is seen here giving a victory wave after winning an election by defrauding the people over immigration

FIGURES REFUTED

That the government's claim was in fact deliberately misleading was soon established after the election had come and gone and Mrs. Thatcher had been given another term. In a report in *The Daily Mail* of figures recently released by the Office of Population Censuses and Surveys it was stated that:-

> ''Perhaps the most dramatic factor of all is immigration. Record numbers are still flocking to live in Britain, the Office of Population Censuses and Surveys said yesterday. Latest figures reveal a 14 per-cent increase in British and non-British citizens arriving in the UK — the highest ever recorded. It left the population with a net gain of 67,000.''

So there we have it — immigration figures not down but **up**! The Tory manifesto set out to give the opposite impression by its cunning play on words. Of course, as every serious student of the immigration issue will realise, talk about ''immigration for settlement'' and ''immigration not for settlement'' is meaningless; vast numbers of immigrants to this country say when they come here that they do not intend to stay permanently, as this obviously improves their chances of being admitted. This puts them outside the category of those who have come ''for settlement''; thereby enabling the government to cut down the number coming into this latter category to far below what is a realistic figure. Equally meaningless are the pledges by many immigrants that they intend one day to go home again, for the fact is that the majority of these have no intention of doing so.

Fraudulent claims in Thatcher's election manifesto

The government may well be able to produce figures showing that the number of immigrants coming in **officially** on a permanent basis has gone down from previous years, thus showing that its manifesto claim was **technically** correct. The fact remains, however, that inasmuch as the claim was intended to give the impression that **immigration generally** was diminishing, it was a bare-faced and quite cynical **lie**. The drafters of the manifesto — and Mrs. Thatcher, who obviously approved it before it went into print — were quite deliberately and knowingly **deceiving** the British people.

Any trading company that wins business by use of a fraudulent prospectus can be prosecuted under the Trade Descriptions Act. Not so the political parties who contest elections under the racket known as 'democracy'. They can advertise their wares in the most dishonest way imaginable and get away with it — again and again!

The policies to which the British National Party is committed would make such deceptions as this impossible. **All** non-white immigration into this country would be stopped forthwith, with no distinction necessary between those applying to come for permanent settlement and those claiming that their stay would be temporary. Our aim, very simply, is a **White Britain** — it is 'racist', and we are not ashamed to say so.

In the long run, the British people are going to come to the realisation that this is the **only** policy that will ever be effective in stemming the flood.

WHO CONTROLS OUR PRESS?

Two aliens now command more than half of Fleet Street circulation

OUR PAPER has more than once in the past drawn public attention to the large alien influence in the British mass media. We have been proved right by recent developments in the money-dominated press world.

Mr. Rupert Murdoch recently received the go-ahead by the government to take over the ailing 'Today' newspaper, previously run by the half-Iranian Eddy Shah. Murdoch now controls papers which represent 32.2 per-cent of the circulation of national dailies in Britain and 35.2 per-cent of Sunday paper circulation.

Add to this the approximate 25 per-cent of daily circulation and a smaller part of Sunday circulation controlled by Mr. Robert Maxwell and we have more than half the national newspapers sold in this country.

The man who calls himself 'Maxwell' is in fact a Jewish refugee from Czechoslovakia whose real name is Jan Ludwig Hoch.

As for Murdoch, most people think of him as an Australian — which would not itself be objectionable if it were strictly true, as Australians are not foreigners in our book. Most Australians are of British stock and if Murdoch could claim this British ancestry and at the same time be a genuinely devoted and patriotic citizen of this country and the White Commonwealth there would be no reason for him not to own British newspapers.

The truth is, however, that Murdoch had a Scottish presbyterian father and a JEWISH MOTHER. He is now a citizen of the United States, and even that status is to him just a matter of convenience, for to Murdoch the wold is a place entirely without national borders: his loyalties, if any exist at all, are wholly INTERNATIONAL.

The proprietors of British newspapers should have genuine roots in this country and should be able to give evidence of wholehearted commitment to the good of this country. Neither of these two international business high-flyers can can claim anything of the kind. It is appalling and dangerous that they now have such enormous influence on what is printed in the press of our land.

MURDOCH 'World citizen'



MAXWELL Jewish refugee — real name Hoch

British
Nationalist

NO. 70 AUGUST 1987
Editor: John Morse

THE TORIES: BRITAIN'S PHONEY 'SAVIOURS'

THE REASON given by many for voting Tory at the general election was that they feared the 'extremism' of the Labour Party, dominated as it now is by far-left elements. Such voters seem to have allowed themselves to be hustled and panicked into believing that the Tories in office would somehow keep the left at bay. Some seem even to have believed that the Tories had some policy for dealing with the growing power of the left.

Tory election propaganda was certainly calculated to suggest to the gullible public the idea that the Tories were some kind of 'last hope' for Britain against the rising left-wing threat. A moment's thought should convince anyone of the falsehood of such a claim.

The fact is that, far from stopping the left, the Tories in the last 8 years have brought about conditions in this country which have provided the left with a real seed bed! At no time in British history has the far left grown with such speed as during the period in which Mrs. Thatcher has been Prime Minister.

If you want an example, just consider the rise to prominence of Tottenham councillor and 'black power' militant Bernie Grant.

Until the murderous Tottenham riot, Grant was a figure mostly unknown beyond his local patch and the extreme marxist fringe of 'ethnic minority' politics in which he moved. Following the riot, in the course of which PC Keith Blakelock was hacked to pieces, it was Grant who stood up in public before a mob of his black supporters to crow triumphantly that the police had been given "a bloody good hiding."

Meanwhile, where were the 'Iron Lady' and her Government? Where were these self-styled champions of 'law and order', these great Tory heroes who now proclaim themselves 'fighters against the left'?

Did they use their legal powers to prosecute Grant for incitement? Was he even so much as given a rap over the knuckles for using threatening and abusive words and behaviour — certainly likely to encourage further breaches of the peace?

Bernie Grant practically danced a celebration on PC Blakelock's grave and was allowed to get away with it. Boosted overnight into a celebrity, he now sits in parliament.

At no point did Mrs. Thatcher and the Tories lift a finger to stop this outrage. If the likes of Grant and other 'black power' militants have now started to grab power at national level, with the parliamentary representation they have recently won, the cowardly impotence of the Tories in government has been a major factor in the process.

For nigh on 70 years Britain's rulers have been surrendering this country's world position, its power and its honour. Tories have been the party in power for more than half of that time. Old habits die hard. The people are slow to understand these facts and still put their faith in the Tories in the hope that they are the 'lesser evil' among the parties. Well, the people have now voted for 4 or 5 more years of Toryism. We'll see if they are wiser at the end of that time!

We are willing to predict now that the coming years will see a great revulsion against Toryism.

Boom time for degenerate art

THE GOVERNMENT, it is reported, intends to take the arts increasingly outside the field of state sponsorship and into that of private enterprise. Arts Minister Richard Luce has recently told arts organisations around the country that they must raise more of their own money.

All this is of course entirely true to form. Nothing is any good, in Tory eyes, unless it makes a profit. We should, however, look for additional reasons for this latest government move. One possible reason could well be that the government has become aware that taxpayers are fed up to the teeth of seeing their money go to the financing of 'art' that only appeals to a tiny and sick minority of culture snobs.

A glance at the art columns of the major national newspapers will show how artistic life in Britain is dominated by the products of the so-called *'avant-garde'*, which is responsible for churning out works of 'art' which are mostly incomprehensible to ordinary people — if indeed such works can be said to have any meaning at all.

Outrageously, the sort of paint-daubed lavatory chains and twisted drainpipe sculpture that Britons are being ordered to admire as 'contemporary art' nowadays collar the lion's share of the public funds set aside to subsidise the cultural life of the nation through such bodies as the left-dominated Arts Council.

SUBVERSIVE MOTIVES

It is not simply a matter of such modern 'art' being the work of a clique of pseudo-intellectual charlatans in it for the money and nothing more. Much of this well-funded garbage has consciously anti-social and subversive motives behind it.

The assault on conventional ideas of order and beauty, which it is the function of healthy art to bring out and express, is part and parcel of that against the spiritual health and good order of the nation as a whole.



TYPICAL DEGENERATE ART
Epstein's 'Rock Drill' (1913-15)

It is no coincidence that among those belonging to this new and thoroughly dictatorial 'cultural establishment' are to be found many individuals of leftist political persuasion and alien cultural origin.

One recent newspaper review dealt with the works of Jacob Epstein, a 20th century sculptor described as 'British', i.e. choosing to live and work in Britain. Epstein was fully capable, when he chose, of creating normal portrait sculptures and the like, and in fact was commissioned to produce a number of busts of prominent people. This he appears to have done primarily for money.

For the most part, however, Epstein preferred to prostitute such skills as he possessed in sculpting misshapen and ugly 'abstract' figures. His work showed a perverse and anti-social taste for the obscene and the primitive. He got many of his inspirations from African, Melanesian and Indian fetish objects. One of his typically grotesque pieces illustrates a woman giving birth on one side, whilst embracing a phallus on the other. This was inspired by a Maori jade demon.

Along with much of his other work, this is said to have expressed Epstein's tendency of "learning from non-christian, non-European religious art." He is gleefully regarded by his modern-day *'avant-garde'* admirers as having "smashed through the classical canon" — i.e. through the basic forms and ideals of beauty underlying our own western art and culture.

DESIRE TO INSULT DECENT BRITISH SOCIETY

Epstein appears to have been moved by an exhibitionist desire to insult decent British society and its artistic and moral feelings — which he did deliberately and aggressively. A natural revulsion against him and his works inevitably grew out of this. This entirely justified hostility on the part of a community reacting against a threat to its cultural well being is today condemned by the pretentious snobs who act as Epstein's apologists as representing " the worst in national prejudices."

Even more outrageously, because of Epstein's Jewish background, those who took up the cudgels against him in defence of their own culture are denounced by the same clique as 'anti-semites'!

Epstein is described as the mastermind behind Henry Moore, until his recent death the leading latter-day purveyor of 'sculptures' consisting of lumps of rock hewn into grotesque shapes devoid of any coherent meaning to any sane or normal man or woman. Typically, the art dictators who monopolise the art critic columns of the press claimed Moore as the world's greatest living sculptor!

MORE STATE-SPONSORED GARBAGE
Royal Academy President Roger de Grey beholds Eduardo Paolozzi's bronze 'Self-portrait and strange machine'

SCANDAL

It is clearly a scandal when such 'artists' and their backers can become the arbiters of taste and set the whole tone in artistic matters, and above all when, as is now the case, they have seized access to the public purse in order to fund their diseased products.

The government, if its purpose is to rectify this trend, has chosen the cowardly way out and the way which will only lead to the further commercialisation of art, with the inevitable drift to philistinism that this will create.

What the government should have done was make a firm and open stand against artistic degeneracy, affirming that art would — as it always should — be mainly state-sponsored but acknowledging honestly that in the future such state-sponsorship would be directed towards the promotion of classical and traditional art forms, and in particular **national** ones — as befits any artistic life for which a nation is expected to pay.

We nationalists are pledged to work in the future for a true art renaissance in Britain, meaning a reflowering of genuine national and western art, and to wage war against the corruption of art now being promoted by the leftists and other subversives that have wormed their way into control of artistic life in this country.

BRITAIN'S COAL INDUSTRY BETRAYED

Now the future of Britain's coal industry is on the line.

This industry, on which the nation's industrial might was originally built up, and which is still a vital national asset as a major domestic source of energy for our factories and homes, is being threatened with further massive destruction by international economic forces.

This is the message that comes over as British coal management and miners shape up for yet another clash, this time over working practices and productivity.

Behind it all, as the real root of the threat, lie the internationalist 'free market' and 'laissez-faire' dogmas so beloved of the Tories and the cosmopolitan City of London financial barons whose interest they serve.

At a moment when floods of cut-price imported coal are being allowed to drive our own industry to the wall, all that is being offered to Britain's miners by government, management and their own left-wing-dominated union is a choice of two options, either of which will mean massive redundancies.

All that British Coal management can recommend is internal measures within the industry itself aimed at reforming working practices, so as to achieve higher productivity. These measures include such changes as the introduction of a 6-day week and flexible working. If the miners will not accept these reforms, they are being told that massive job losses will result.

PENALTY FOR PRODUCTIVITY

Equally, if they do agree to the reforms they will still be thrown out of work in huge numbers, this time because of their higher productivity. The latter, says British Coal, will not be sufficient to produce a fall in unit costs sufficient to allow BC to cut prices on a scale that would permit "the winning of new markets." Without these "new markets" and the expanding demand that they would bring, no new capacity can be developed within the industry that would allow workers displaced from one part of the industry — as, with higher productivity, the labour demand fell — to be relocated elsewhere.

The constant in all these calculations is that, come what may, foreign cut-price coal is going to be allowed to keep pouring into Britain. Scandalously, when the Tories have privatised the electricity supply industry it is being said that its new owners want to buy cheap imported coal from China and Columbia and that they will be left free to do so, regardless of the effect on the British coal industry.

So, once again, a strategic national industry is to be sacrificed on the altar of internationalism and the 'free market' doctrines of the Tories and the City. These foreign imports will in fact call the tune: they will set the framework within which our own coal industry will have to operate.

Britain's domestic level of employment and the survival of her coal industry, and hence her ability to supply her future energy needs from her own domestic resources, are going to have to shape themselves to international 'market forces'. No regard will be paid to the fact that it is intrinsically impossible to demand of British workers that they compete with the wage levels of Asia and Latin America. It is outrageous and immoral, in effect, to tell them that if they want work they must descend to the levels of slave workers, such as those in red China or Columbia.

BANKERS' INTERESTS

Meanwhile the international banking fraternity, which has vast investments in in such regions as China and Latin America, stands once again to derive huge profit from the economic undermining of Britain — by producing where labour costs are dirt-cheap and selling here in Britain where relatively high prices are to be had.

Against these internationalist policies imposed on the British economy by the Tories and the feeble acceptance of these policies by the managements of British industries, in this case the management of British Coal, all that the leadership of the National Union of Mineworkers has to offer is more mindless marxist militancy. Obsessed as he is with marxist-revolutionary doctrines of 'international class war' — which are utterly irrelevant to the question of preserving jobs for British miners — Arthur Scargill, the miners' president, can think of nothing better than to urge his members to oppose any changes in work practices, without offering even the ghost of a constructive or commonsense idea for the kind of radical transformation of Britain's economic policy that is really needed — a transformation along nationalist lines under which we do not import goods from abroad which we can produce at home.



BRITAIN'S COAL MINES
A great national resource that should be preserved for posterity

ALL SCARGILL WANTS

Scargill would appear to have no interest in the true well being of Britain's industries but wants only the disruption of those industries for revolutionary purposes, which no doubt would help his own political advancement. This mentality is typical of the leaders of the Labour and trade union movement, which have never agitated for the nationalist policies that are required if full employment is to be achieved for British workers.

Contd. on back page

They condemn South Africa but ignore these tyrants...

KENYA

The boss of Kenya is **Daniel Arap Moi**, who has been in power for 9 years.

Under Moi's regime political opponents are constantly 'disappearing'. Many are imprisoned without charges. Some have not been seen for years.



MOI
Milked his country dry

Moi has accumulated enormous wealth while his people live in abject poverty. He has in fact milked Kenya dry, taking advantage of his position of power in the country to stash away millions.

The state of the Kenyan economy is appalling. Only a quarter of it is fit to farm and that is now being eroded by desert.

Moi is known to have an insatiable appetite for young women, whom he sets up in luxurious apartments and lavishes with presents.

NIGERIA

The man in power in Nigeria is **Major General Ibrahim Babangida**. He took this power by force in 1985.

During the first year of Babangida's reign, 10 people were executed for political 'crimes'.



BABANGIDA
Has string of mistresses

Like Moi in Kenya, he has lined his pockets at his country's expense.

Despite Nigeria's great natural resources, its economy is in chaos, with most people living far below the poverty line. What farming Nigeria did once have has been allowed to disintegrate.

Although married, Babangida is known to have a string of mistresses.

GHANA

RAWLINGS
Killed political opponents

The head of Ghana is **Flight Lieutenant Jerry Rawlings**. He seized power in 1983.

SOUTH AFRICA is today the target of an unending campaign of abuse and vilification by the whole of the 'Third World' and by most of the politicians in Britain — on the grounds that she is some kind of 'tyranny' where 'democratic' rules have been suspended. The pretext for this is South Africa's policy of racial separation, known as 'Apartheid'. Yet throughout the so-called 'Third World' there are many real tyrannies that go uncondemned and almost unmentioned. Here we put the spotlight on 6 of them. It is interesting that these countries are in the forefront of the agitation against South Africa — perhaps to divert the world's attention from what is happening in their own backyards.

Under Rawlings, 31 political opponents have been executed and a further 33 were under sentence of death a year ago — no further news has been received of them. The trials of these men were a total sham.

Ghana has a chaotic economy riddled with corruption.

Rawlings, like Babangida in Nigeria, is married but has several mistresses.

SIERRA LEONE

Joseph Momoh came to power in Sierra Leone early in 1986. Previously he was in charge of the army, during which time his troops brutally murdered hundreds of political rebels in the south of the country.

Momoh also has accumulated enormous wealth in a poverty-striken country. His whole system of government works on a web of corruption, and Momoh has has fingers in many business pies.

MOMOH
Big personal fortune while country rots in poverty

Sierra Leone has all the resources for a prosperous economy: ideal climate, fertile soil, good fishing grounds, small population and big mining assets. But none of this wealth finds its way into the pockets of the people, who are among the poorest in the world.

ZIMBABWE

Robert Mugabe, marxist dictator of 'Zimbabwe' should be known to people in Britain. Since he came to power with the help of Mrs. Thatcher in 1980 there has been a string of political murders and imprisonments in his country.

The country is controlled by a vicious secret police, with informers everywhere.

MUGABE
Presided over big deterioration since Rhodesia days

The economy of 'Zimbabwe' is better than most in Black Africa, only because in the country there are still over a hundred thousand Whites, who supply most of the skilled workers and professional people. Nevertheless, the country is very much poorer and less efficient economically since the days when it was known to the world as Rhodesia, and it is now going downhill fast.

GUYANA

HOYTE
Keeps power through use of armed gangs

The ruler of Guyana is **Desmond Hoyte**, who came to power 2 years ago. Hoyte maintains 5 paramilitary terror groups through which he attempts to keep his country in order. They all armed, very nasty and very powerful.

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — Traditionally, elections to parliament have been about candidates putting to voters their own ideas and proposals and asking for the support of the majority with a view to representing that majority.

In the lead up to the recent general election, it was announced in the *Leicester Trader* that Leicestershire's Asian community were willing to negotiate their block vote with one of the local parties. The 'Leicestershire Indian Art Circle', which aims "to serve mankind, to promote Indian culture and brotherhood," canvassed Asian households. Ramesh Jani, its spokesman, was reported to have said: "The only way the Asian community can change the course of politics is through the ballot box..."

Now the election is over, and the two Tory MPs ousted in favour of Labour, *The Leicester Mercury* cries: "It's red Leicester!" With an Asian Lord Mayor, and Asian MP, a multi-racial Labour council and three Labour MPs, the people must be a little bewildered.

Observers from overseas are baffled at the fact that Britain allows foreigners to take part in national elections which determine the nation's affairs. It has often been said that the British people deserve to be laughed at, derided and insulted for allowing such things to happen.

It certainly is insulting to us that foreigners should be in a position to "change the course of politics" in our country.

JOHN PEACOCK
Leicester

Sir, — Your editorial in the June issue is timely now that the Conservatives have been returned again. For decadence is so prevalent in Britain that, no matter which of the old-gang parties presides over our affairs, things will inevitably deteriorate.

The plain fact is that multi-racialism, as well as liberalism, are going to be extremely difficult to eradicate unless the British people show a new will.

The so-called 'ethnic minorities' in this country have a much higher birthrate than the native populace. Everywhere one goes, one sees evidence of this. The immigrants' large families are conspicuous by the fact that their womenfolk seem to be in a constant state of pregnancy and their youth growing in numbers. How long will it take before these numbers place intolerable pressures on housing, on schools, on land and on the maintenance of law and order? Already, in schools their demands are increasing that the curriculum be given up to the teaching of their languages, religions and customs, and land is being taken up by the building of mosques, temples, shrines and ethnic community centres. As for law and order, we know that there are already many no-go areas in our cities, where the police are ordered not to tread.

It has to be faced by the British people that our nation is now gravely at risk — and much more so than in 1940. There is going to be an almighty explosion one day, and when that day comes the traitorous politicians who have imposed this situation upon us will deserve all that they get.

N.S. WHITE
Cardiff

Sir, — Your exposure last month of the loathsome creeps of clerics in South East London who give their support to the poof community caused me no surprise. The poofs themselves have heavily infiltrated the church, and it is now estimated that there are at least 20 AIDS victims among Church of England clergymen. Today the Church is the leader in national degeneracy and will always side with our nation's enemies.

P.R. FORD (Mrs.)
Milton Keynes, Bucks

RACE-MIXERS THREATEN NEW PERSECUTION OF WHITE TENANTS

EARLIER THIS YEAR we commented in 'British Nationalist' on the loathsome practice, adopted in a number of boroughs in London and elsewhere, whereby local councils are issuing threats of eviction against tenants for any act of so-called 'racial harrassment' against their neighbours. These attempts at intimidation (which, in the case of some boroughs, even extend to a threat of eviction if 'injurious words' are used against a neighbour in which there is said to be some racial content) have mainly come from Labour councils — especially those dominated by the 'black power' and race-mixing lobbies to which the Labour Party and the left feel obliged to toady and which, in addition, they hope to mobilise for the revolutionary destruction of Britain's nationhood.

But despite the cynical Tory exploitation of ordinary British people's fears and apprehensions about left-wing 'anti-racist' witch-hunts (in order to cadge votes at election times) the Tories in local government have not been slow to introduce the very same eviction threat, similarly incorporated into tenancy agreements, against their own council tenants. The Tory-controlled London boroughs of Wandsworth and Merton have been among the first to get in on the act.

Small wonder then that, with the Tories now firmly back in the saddle in national government for the next 4 or 5 years, the leftist 'black power' lobbies in the local boroughs, far from feeling in any way 'put down', now appear to be stepping up their efforts to persecute Whites with a renewed arrogance and aggression. It is once again the white council tenant whom they intend should feel the lash of this persecution with 'racial harrassment' once again used as the pretext.

Up till now the pretence has been upheld that council rules concerning 'racial harrassment' would be applied in an even-handed way as between white and black tenants and that such 'harrassment' would have to be properly proven before any penal measures against the ones reposible were taken. But not any more.

It is now being openly proposed that it is Whites, and Whites alone, who carry out acts of 'racial harrassment'. The London boroigh of Ealing, whose Labour-dominated council is a typical example of its kind, has introduced new rules on 'racial harrassment' under which there seems to be no doubt that **Whites only** are to be on the receiving end and that the burden of proof on the accusers against such 'harrassment' will no longer be mandatory.

This was spelled out with brutal frankness in a local newspaper report in the *Ealing Leader* under the headline: "Racists face eviction: council backs black tenants with new rules."

According to this report, "Housing chiefs are cracking down on tenants found guilty of racial attacks. New rules mean black people no longer have to prove they are being racially attacked. Housing managers will assume complaints are justified."

The threats of Ealing Council race equality chairman Ranjit Dheer are quoted in the report, where it is claimed that tenants evicted for 'racial harrassment' under the new rules can be declared to have made themselves "intentionally homeless" — which, if true, would mean that the council would not be legally obliged to rehouse them. The process, it is said, will depend to a large extent on the say-so of any tenant complaining of being 'racially harrassed'. According to Ranjit Dheer, "Why would anyone take the trouble of complaining to the council about harrassment if it was not true? It makes sense to put the onus on the council to disprove complaints."

Dheer goes on to speak of dragging tenants alleged to have carried out any "serious assault" into county court to evict them from their homes without prior warning. In cases of 'minor harrassment', he talks of the council issuing a series of "official warnings."

Contd. on back page

HOW TO FIGHT THE RACE-MIXING TYRANTS

WHILST there is unquestionably a deadly threat to the nation's survival from the race-mixers and their creeping tyranny, it is essential that British people should not overrate the power of their race-mixing enemies, any more than they should underrate it. What is necessary is that they should have the true measure of this threat and understand how to counter it.

Above all, the British people should recognise their own vast potential strength. Britain is still overwhelmingly a white country, numerically dominated by people of Anglo-Saxon and Celtic stock. Britons are still so numerous in this, their historic homeland that it remains possible still to recover control of that homeland with relative ease if the correct political action is taken.

Two things are necessary to achieve this: the British people must acquire the will to fight back; then they must couple this with a proper grasp of the correct political methods.

As far as the question of will is concerned, it is for British people to understand that petty tyrants, such as Ealing councillor Ranjit Dheer, can only become powerful as long as white people are prepared to be intimidated by them. If Whites are cowardly enough to back down in the face of bullying and intimidation of this sort, then the tyrants will begin to gain real mastery over the. Gutlessness only tempts the bully to commit further outrages.

Secondly, we must understand that the likes of Councillor Dheer and his fellow members of the race-mixing lobby are really no more than carpet-baggers. They are opportunists aiming to exploit the 'reconstruction' of Britain into a multi-racial society. The real authors of this crime are our own home-grown white traitors, who have teamed up with the 'ethnic minority' lobbies in order to promote their own treasonable political aims.

And the worst of all these traitors are the politicians of parliament, who have created the whole multi-racial mess in this country by their policies of allowing continued mass immigration and their refusal to adopt repatriation schemes that could reverse the trend. Whatever their other differences, on the matter of the racial betrayal of the British people the four main parliamentary parties are exactly alike, and it does not matter which of them the British people vote for they will still get the same result: continued racial sell out and the making over of the country to control of the ethnic minorities.

As far as political action is concerned, it is no use ordinary British people thinking that they can themselves forever go on ducking out of this grasping of the nettle by voting for the 'least evil' among the multi-racialist parties. There simply is no 'least evil'; the only difference between the parties on this issue lies in the speed and the tactics with which they promote the racial destruction of Britain; all have the same object in the end.

British people must understand, if they and their descendants are to have any worthwhile future at all in this country, that the established political system **as a whole** is their enemy. The system **as a whole** serves the adversaries of the British Nation.

The truth is that there is only one thing that finally counts, and that is the **hard substance of political power.** It is because Britons continue to support the system by giving the old-gang politicians their votes that they have in effect rendered themselves **powerless**. No wonder they are coming to count for less and less in the calculations of those who, both at local and national level, govern what is supposed to be the British people's own country!

If the British people wish to avoid the fate of becoming permanently despised and persecuted victims in their own land, they must become a political power **in their own right**. There is only one way to do this: they must stop supporting the old-gang parties and start supporting **British Nationalism**.

We have used the expression 'black power', but in the ultimate reality there is no such thing as 'black power'; there is only **white weakness**. Britons have nothing to fear but their own lack of guts and lack of political organisation. Through **nationalism** they can acquire both. It is now up to them to show that they still have it within them to be worthy citizens of the great nation they once were, and could be once again!

AT IT AGAIN!

Prince Charles in new multi-racialist antics

WITH HIS crawling visit to the Blacks of Tottenham's Broadwater Farm Estate fresh in memory, Prince Charles was once again on the multi-racialist trail recently — this time in a 'goodwill' mission to East London's Brick Lane.

This area still has a large native British working class community, despite the very fast rate at which Bengali immigrants are pouring in. Nevertheless, His Royal Highness went out of his way to make the local Asians the centre of his attention and concern.

Described as being 'shocked' at the living conditions of these immigrants, he came out with the amazing statement that Bengalis were living at a level not much superior to what they had left behind them in Bangladesh, and went on to maintain that this was because britain was not doing enough for them!

Many Britons, Prince Charles' future subjects, may well feel that it is not the place of the Heir to the British Throne even to hold, let alone to proclaim, the view that this country — his own prospective realm — should be turned into spare accomodation for the Third World's surplus population. Still less are British people likely to welcome their future King telling them that it is their duty to provide that population with a permanent meal ticket into the bargain.

However much British people will wish to support the Monarchy as an institution, they should not allow their sentiments to blind them to misconduct on the part of any of those who currently represent that institution. The Monarchy has a responsibility to serve the interests **solely** of the British Nation and Race. When the Royals abdicate that responsibility and start playing multi-racialist fools, whether put up to it by their advisers or acting off their own bat, those who truly value both the Monarchy and the British Nation, which it is supposed to symbolise and represent, will be doing no more than their patriotic duty by criticising such behaviour.

In this sprit, we say: ''Come off it, Your Highness. Enough is enough!''

FAMILIAR PICTURE
Prince Charles courts the favour of Brick Lane's Asians while appearing not to be interested in the Whites

Ponderable quote

''I do not believe that the British left is an alien force which has crept up upon an unsuspecting nation and infected it with weird doctrines unheard of in our fair land in former times. Here I part company with many Tories, for I believe that the left is an entirely predictable product of the current of establishment thinking since the late Victorian period.''

BRIAN WALDEN
Article, Sunday Times (July 19th 1987)

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH LONDON
PO Box 462, Greenwood Road, Hackney, London E8 4HH

WEST LONDON
PO Box 33, Greenford, Middx. UB6 8DS

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

NORTH ESSEX
PO Box 703, Colchester CO7 9SP

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
A9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

STOKE-ON-TRENT
PO Box 320, Tunstall, Stoke

EAST MIDLANDS
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

YORKSHIRE
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in West Kent, Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Coventry, Burton-on-Trent, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax, Sheffield, Bradford, Wakefield, Hull and Sunderland. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to party head office at: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

Nationalist Alliance and NF editor's attack on BNP leaders

FOLLOWING the announcement last month of the formation of a Nationalist Alliance between the British National Party and one of the factions of the National Front, we received several letters indicating satisfaction at this development, though some of them sounding a cautionary note.

This was before the appearance of the latest issue of *The Flag*, organ of the NF faction with which the alliance has been formed. In this issue was an editorial article highly derogatory, by implication, of the BNP leadership and many of its members. This was in direct violation of an agreement, written into the terms of the alliance, that neither party to it would make public attacks on the other. Naturally, many of our supporters who were initially pleased at the news of the alliance have been dismayed by this gesture and have questioned whether we were, after all, wise to enter into the agreement concerned.

We fully understand this apprehension and feel that some statement of explanation is called for. Firstly we should make clear that the initial approach proposing the setting up of the alliance came, not from the BNP side, but from the National Front, or at least certain representatives thereof. As the BNP had in the past made approaches to the NF with a view to narrowing the divide between the two organisations, all of which had met with a negative response, we welcomed this change of attitude and thought it only right to reciprocate. It was on this basis that the alliance was formed, and we were given to understand that the project obtained the endorsement of the 'Steering Committee' of the NF.

We were therefore very surprised to see this attack appear in *The Flag* shortly afterwards. In the article in question there was a vitriolic attack on the NF leadership of the 1970s, certain members of which are now leaders of the BNP today. We do not feel it is really necessary to reply to this attack, but merely to leave nationalists to make their own comparisons between the growth and strength achieved by the Front in the 1970s under the leadership that the editor of *The Flag* is so anxious to deride and the growth and strength that has been achieved by the same organisation under his own leadership in more recent times.

Since the appearance of the article, we have been assured by those with whom we negotiated the recently formed alliance that they had no knowledge of this article and wish to dissociate themselves from it. We accept their declarations in this regard, and we hope that the alliance — which, if it survives, is most certainly a step in the right direction for nationalism in this country — will not be damaged by this outburst.

We for our part will continue to do everything we can to help the alliance to succeed, hoping that wise councils in the NF will prevail so as to ensure that this kind of unconstructive gesture is not repeated.

BNP man gets conditional discharge

KEVIN SCOTT, Sunderland Organiser of the British National Party, appeared at Blaydon Magistrates' Court last month on a charge of assault arising out of a fracas at a meeting in Gateshead held by the Tyneside and District Anti-Fascist Association, an extreme left-wing group. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss how to fight the BNP in the Tyneside area, and a contingent of the party turned up to question the speakers. When the questioning began and Mr. Scott challenged the speaker on a point, one of the 'anti-fascists' made a rush at him and he hit the man in order to defend himself.

Although the left-wingers at the meeting heavily outnumbered the nationalists, instead of taking them on in an honest fight they went squealing to the police and got the latter to make a charge against Kevin Scott for assault.

The court found Mr. Scott guilty of the charge but, recognising the circumstances of the incident, gave him a conditional discharge for one year and ordered him to pay £50 costs and £16.40 witnesses' expenses.

Big publicity surrounded the case and has resulted in fresh local interest in the BNP in the North East.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82
Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'
Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM
Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others. **Side 2:** Talk by John Tyndall on 'The coming British Revolution'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I
Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II
Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the 2nd World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III
Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-exlanatory); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial nationalist state).

These 5 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 25p postage) from: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20	+ 37p post
25 copies £2.70	+ £1.00 post
50 copies £4.80	+ £1.90 post
100 copies £8.40	+ £2.35 post
150 copies £12.00	+ £2.55 post
200 copies £15.00	+ £2.75 post
300 copies £21.00	+ £2.95 post
400 copies £26.40	+ £3.25 post
500 copies £32.00	) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00	) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

RACE-MIXERS THREATEN NEW PERSECUTION OF WHITE TENANTS

(Contd. from prev. page)

He further claims that he will be able to turn the police into an accessory in any campaign of persecution launched by the council. Expressing his hopes for full support from them, he is quoted as saying: ''I want to be positive about the police's role in our fight against racism. I was encouraged by their recent racial harrassment campaign.''

In reality of course Ranjit Dheer & Co. are not quite as powerful as they would have us believe. Dheer himself has to admit, for example, that under his council's new rules alleged 'racist attackers' can never be put in the position of having to prove their innocence. In a recent written reply to a parliamentary question from Ealing North MP Harry Greenway, the Minister of State for the Environment acknowledged that local authorities cannot evict tenants solely on the basis of allegations of 'racist behaviour'. Before a council can be granted a possession order, it has to satisfy a court that ''there has been both a breach of tenancy obligations and nuisance and annoyance to neighbours.''

We can therefore see that there is a strong element of bluff in the aggressiveness of the intimidation tactics used by the likes of Ranjit Dheer and the vicious race-mixing lobby for which he speaks and acts. Nevertheless, the writing is clearly on the wall for the British people when those elements feel themselves sufficiently powerful to threaten Britons in this insolent way.

BRITAIN'S COAL INDUSTRY BETRAYED

(Contd. from page 2)

Greater efficiency in industry is obviously a desirable aim. But it is no use asking for the workers' willing co-operation in achieving this if all they get in return for being more productive is to be pushed on to the dole queue!

British Coal can in fact be made a more efficient industry without the loss of one single job — by the simple practice of banning all coal imports. Britain in fact is estimated to have another 300 years' coal supply under her own soil. It is a crime that this resource should be wasted.

In addition to this, with a guaranteed home market not penetrated by imports, a production scale can be achieved which will permit unit costs to be much reduced. In the mining industry the exclusion of foreign coal would mean that domestic capacity could be considerably expanded, new technology introduced and existing labour relocated within the industry without creating unemployment. And since there would be no question of any job losses resulting from this process, there would be no problem about enlisting the full-hearted co-operation of the work force in bringing about these improvements.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5 is required on all foreign currency POs and cheques and an additional £1 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

.....

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support for the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 25p ☐

I wish to become a full member and enclose £6 (Half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

I wish to become an associate member and enclose £3 (no half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

.....

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS